

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/770,007	01/25/2001	Shinji Aoki	4041J-000360 5201		
27572	7590 03/31/2003				
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			FORD, JOHN K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743		
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

3	_				1.11				
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)	—				
		09/770,	007	Aoki					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		For	2 D	3743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>							
2a)[This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)🗹	Claims 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or	election requiren	nent.						
Application Papers									
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to		r.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been receiv	ved.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			n No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes				•				
			-		8 7				
Attachment(s)								
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:									
Patent and Tree									

Application/Control Number: 09/770,007

Art Unit: 3743

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: first species of Figures 1-10B, second species of Figure 11, third species of Figure 12A, fourth species of Figure 12B, fifth species of Figures 13-22, and sixth species of Figures 23-24.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 09/770,007

Art Unit: 3743

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Ford at telephone number 703-308-2636.

JOHN FORD:jbe March 4, 2003 John K. Pord Primary Examiner